

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-30 remain pending in this application. The Applicants wish to thank the Examiner and his Primary Examiner for their courtesy during an In person interview held on November 9, 2005, for the Examiner's efforts in review of this application and to express appreciation for the timeliness of the Official Office Action dated August 10, 2005. The context of the personal interview is memorialized herein.

Turning to paragraph 3 of the Official Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,645,337, to Gleckman. The Applicants respectfully submit that the diffuser of Gleckman, similar to other known diffusers utilized in conjunction with liquid crystal displays, randomly diffuses the associated light. Therefore, the Applicants submit that Gleckman does not teach, suggest or imply an information display, comprising: a diffuser positioned between a backlit liquid crystal display and backlighting associated with said liquid crystal display, wherein said diffuser redirects light rays emitted by said backlighting as a function of at least one of the following: the position of said liquid crystal display relative to at least one anticipated viewer and a planar surface of said diffuser relative to a viewing angle of at least one anticipated viewer as recited in claim 18 of the current application. Therefore, the Applicants submit that claim 18 is in condition for allowance over the art of record.

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

Turning now to paragraph 5, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-17 and 22-30 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Number 2005/0007845 A1, to Tonar et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application Number 2004/0032675, to Weller et al. With regard to claim 1, the Examiner has pointed to page 21, paragraph 184, 185 and elsewhere of Tonar et al. and various passages of Weller et al. as being of particular relevance. As discussed during the personal interview each of these recitations are discussing LED based indicia displays, not backlit LCD displays; the two types of displays are quite distinct from one another. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or in combination, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: an information display at least partially positioned behind a reflective element with respect to an anticipated viewer; said information display comprising a negative mode, backlit, liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of backlighting of any other character as recited in claim 1 of the present application. Since claims 2-8 depend from claim 1 the Applicants submit that claims 1-8 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 9, the Examiner has pointed to page 21, paragraphs 184 and 185, page 25, paragraph 206, lines 9-13, page 29, paragraph 239, lines 3-8 and page 30, paragraph 249, lines 5-6 and paragraph 253, lines 4-5 of Tonar et al. along

Page 3 of 9

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

with various passages from Weller et al. as being of particular relevance. As discussed during the personal interview, the Applicants respectfully submit that known liquid crystal displays do not incorporate display drivers having spare outputs that are utilized to control associated backlighting. The backlighting is turned on collectively, independent of the liquid crystal display characters via means other than the display driver. Therefore, the Applicants respectfully submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply an information display, comprising: a liquid crystal display having at least two characters with each character having associated backlighting; and a display driver having more outputs than said liquid crystal display has characters, wherein at least one output of said display driver is used to control said backlighting as recited in claim 9 of the current application. Furthermore, in that claims 10-11 depend from claim 9, the Applicants submit that claims 9-11 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 12, the Applicants submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: a backlit liquid crystal display positioned behind an automatically dimming reflective element, wherein the intensity of said backlit liquid crystal display is a function of the reflectivity of said automatically dimming reflective element, said liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

controllable independent of backlighting of any other character as now recited.

Furthermore, in that claims 13-17 depend from claim 12, the Applicants submit that claims 12-17 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 22, the Applicants submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: an information display and a reflective element, wherein said reflective element is at least partially transmissive and an optimum light ray wavelength transmission of said reflective element is substantially equal to the predominant wavelength of light rays emitted by said information display, said information display is a liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of backlighting of any other character as now recited. Furthermore, in that claims 23 and 24 depend from claim 22, the Applicants submit that claims 22-24 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 25, the Applicants submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: a backlit liquid crystal display positioned behind an automatically dimming reflective element, wherein the intensity of said backlit liquid crystal display is a function of an ambient light sensor, said liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith,

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of backlighting of any other character as now recited. Furthermore, in that claim 26 depends from claim 25, the Applicants submit that claims 25 and 26 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 27, the Applicants submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: a backlit liquid crystal display positioned behind an automatically dimming reflective element, wherein the intensity of said backlit liquid crystal display is a function of an glare light sensor, said liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of backlighting of any other character as now recited. Therefore, the Applicants submit that claim 27 is in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 28, the Applicants submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: a backlit liquid crystal display positioned behind an automatically dimming reflective element, wherein the contrast of said backlit liquid crystal display is a function of an ambient light sensor, said liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

backlighting of any other character as now recited. Furthermore, in that claim 29 depends from claim 28, the Applicants submit that claims 28 and 29 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

With regard to claim 30, the Applicants submit that Tonar et al. or Weller et al., taken individually or collectively, do not teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: a backlit liquid crystal display positioned behind an automatically dimming reflective element, wherein the contrast of said backlit liquid crystal display is a function of an glare light sensor, said liquid crystal display having at least two characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of backlighting of any other character as now recited. Therefore, the Applicants submit that claim 30 is in condition for allowance over the art of record.

Turning to paragraph 6, the Examiner has rejected claims 19-21 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Tonar et al. in view of Weller et al. and Gleckman. For at least the reasons expressed above and as discussed during the personal interview, the Applicants respectfully submit that neither Tonar et al., Weller et al. or Gleckman, taken individually or collectively, teach, suggest or imply a rearview mirror assembly, comprising: an information display at least partially positioned behind a reflective element with respect to an anticipated viewer; said information display comprising a negative mode, backlit, liquid crystal display having at least two

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

characters, each of said characters has individual backlighting associated therewith, wherein said backlighting of a given character is controllable independent of backlighting of any other character; a display driver having more outputs than said liquid crystal display has characters, wherein at least one output of said display driver is used to control said backlighting; and a diffuser positioned between a backlit liquid crystal display and backlighting associated with said liquid crystal display, wherein said diffuser redirects light rays emitted by said backlighting as a function of at least one of the following; the position of said liquid crystal display relative to at least one anticipated viewer and a planar surface of said diffuser relative to a viewing angle of at least one anticipated viewer; wherein said reflective element is automatically dimming and the intensity of said backlit liquid crystal display is a function of the reflectivity of said automatically dimming reflective element; and wherein said reflective element is at least partially transmissive and an optimum light ray wavelength transmission of said reflective element is substantially equal to the predominant wavelength of light rays emitted from said information display. as recited in claim 18 of the current application. Furthermore, in that claims 20 and 21 depend from claim 19, the Applicants submit that claims 19-21 are in condition for allowance over the art of record.

Appl. No. 10/775,434  
Amendment  
November 10, 2005

The Applicants submit that claims 1-30 are in condition for allowance and that no new subject matter is introduced via this paper. The Applicants, therefore, respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. Please contact the undersigned should additional information be required.

Respectfully submitted,  
JOHN K. ROBERTS ET AL.  
By: Gentex Corporation

November 10, 2005  
Date

James E. Shultz Jr.  
James E. Shultz Jr.  
Registration No. 50,511  
600 N. Centennial Street  
Zeeland, Michigan 49464  
(616) 772-1800